137

THIED PROGRESS REPORT

S.K JOLDIK TOJST

Forest Insect Field Station Northfork, Calif. December 10, 1924.

J. M. Miller

130

THURD PROGRESS REPORT - SAN JUAUIN PROJECT.

CONTROL WORK, SEASON OF 1924.

Cooperation - Page 12 no Lumber Company:

pine stands in the vicinity of Whiskey Creek and Ellis Meadow during the 1922 and 1923 seasons, control work was recommended on this tract in the second progress report of February 1924. This work was not proposed as an experimental feature of the project but for protective reasons only as the losses threatened valuable holdings both of the Sierra National Forest and the Sugar Pine lumber Company.

Control work was carried out during the period from April 28 to May 24 by the crew that carried out the spring work in the Chiquito District. This work was covered by a report of May 30, 1924, a copy of which was sent to the Sugar Pine Lumber Company. This report included the following data:

area Govered ------ 9,600 nores
Stand Protected ----- 384,000 M.B.M.
No. Trees Treated ----- 54
Volume Treated ----- 366,110 Board Ft.
Cost per M.B.M. ----- 2.18

The costs of this work were distributed according to the ownership of the infested timber treated. On this basis the Forest Service contributed \$658.40 and the Sugar Pine Lumber Company, \$190.35.

Experimental Control Work:

Completion of Trap Tree Experiment-

During 1922 considerable effort was concentrated upon trap tree work to prove whether this method was effective in holding endemic infestations and preventing increases. Results of this work were followed up during the season of 1923 and conclusions have been summarized in a special report submitted under date of November 18, 1924. The results apparently demonstrated conclusively that trap trees when employed on a small scale are of but little use in materially reducing endemic losses or preventing increases. All trap tree work was abandoned during the season of 1924 as the use of traps on a scale large enough to have any affect was considered impracticable.

Spring and Summer Work - 1924

Both spring and summer work was continued on three units of the Chiquito Basin. These were 26A (Logan), 26B (Forked Meadow), 26C (West Chiquito).

A very thorough working over of these units was made during the spring and a high percent of the overwintering infestation was treated on all parts of the area.

Summer work was confined almost entirely to the extermination experiment in Unit 26B. This unit as well as the others which were worked contained less overwintering infestation in the spring of 1924 than in 1923. A special effort was made to treat the highest percent possible of the overwintering infestation on Unit 26B. All trees that could be located in the fall work of 1923 were treated. In February 1924 the unit was again cruised

and all trees treated that could be located within the unit. A final cleaning was made in April 1924 and up to the time that the first attacks of the summer generation occurred in 1924 this unit was practically clear of infested trees.

The summer control work was concentrated on this unit as it was considered that more information of direct value would be gained by following up closely the results of the extermination work and attempting to keep the unit clear of reinfestation than by spreading the summer control work over the Chiquito Basin. In fact the effect of the control work on the units treated by less intensive methods was already becoming apparent and results would be fairly definitely settled by the developments of the 1924 infestation.

Intensive control work was kept up on Unit 26B until September 1.

By that time it was apparent that the unit was being reinfested to about the same extent as the rest of the area and further work to demonstrate the feasibility of extermination by present methods or even holding the infestation on this area seemed useless.

The costs of the control work of this season are shown in Table IV. and the detail of the experimental work in Table V.

PLANS FOR FURTHER WORK.

A review of the entire project will be made in a separate report which will give the results now available and suggest further lines of study.

It is the purpose of this progress report to give the data for the 1924 control work and to consider only such plans as are essential to the completion

of the project as originally planned. According to the original plan control work was to be extended over a period of five years ending with the 1924 season. The final results of the project will be evident when complete returns on the 1924 infestation are in. A cruise of the entire project area during the spring and summer of 1925 will be necessary for the final completion of the project.

Out of the \$1,100 allotted for this project during the fiscal year 1925, approximately \$500 has been spent, leaving a balance of about \$600.

The check cruising of the project has been carried out by the Bureau of Entomology each season, the Bureau carrying the salaries and the Forest Service the field expense. Much of this cruising, especially on the Chiquito units has been carried out along with the control work. As control work will now be discontinued the next cruise of the area will have to be carried out as a special line of work.

It is planned to complete this cruise during the period from April 1, to June 30. The field expense is estimated at \$500. The present balance now available on the project fund is therefore ample to complete this phase of study.

COST OF PROJECT TO DATE.

200						Totals for Season					
Season	Period	No Trees	Treated Volume		: Cost Per		Volume Bd. Measure		Cost Per		
	: Spring Work	375	715,450	: \$4,909.15	: \$6.86	: : 713	1 704 960	: : : \$7,135.06:	\$5.46		
1920	: Summer Nork	338	589,510	: \$2,225.91	\$ \$3.78	1	1,304,960	; 97,133.00;	40.40		
	: Spring Work	:		:		:		:			
1921	: Summer Work	402	462 694,470 : 3,709.07 : \$5.33 :	: 462 :	694,470	: 3,709.07:	5.33				
	: Spring Work	: 101	144,530	: 8 864.50	\$ \$5.85	:					
1922	Summer Work	290	275,700	: \$2,215.76	: 8.04	391	420,230	; 3,002.26;	47.25		
	: Spring Work	: 240	299,140	: 1,800.93	: \$6.02		567,650	: : 43,831.90:			
1923	: Summer Work	: 176	268,510	\$2.030.97	1 1 47.56	; 416 ;			86.75		
	: Spring Work	57	79,600	: \$ 519.22	: \$6.53	1		1			
1924	: Sammer Work	: 80	103,370	: \$1,060.11	: \$10.25	: 137	182,970	: 1,579.33:	\$8.63		
us at a facility of the		1 2 7	0 6 76								

137 182,970

TABLE II.

CHARACTER OF TIMBER TREATED.

: :	7608 Vol.Bd.Measure 56 216,020 15 129,770	: No Tees : 713 : 462 : 462	701. Bd. Measure 1,304,960 694,470
: :		8 8 8	
13,390 : 21	15 129,770	: : 462 :	694,470
A STREET OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE		Control Carlo Sanda Carlo Sand	The state of the s
: 11	13 27, 260	391	420,230
3,500 : 5	59 13,090	: : : 416	567,650
1		: : 137	182,970
	\$ \$ \$ \$		

0

T

TABLE III.

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL LOSSES

Standing Trees Killed, 1919-1923.

1	North for	k District		Chiquito	District		Total Er	tire area
:	No. Prees	Volume	1	Ro. Trees	Volume		No.Trees	Volume
		Yel	low.	Pine (D. or	evicomis)			
:	828	1,157,530		614	1,036,590		1442	2,194,120
:	433	812,170	:	308	483,580		741	1,295,750
	579	951,420	:	564		:	1143	1,849,330
:	842	1,517,900	:	727				2,671,900
	693	1.058.450		450	710,600	1	1143	1.769.050
					And the second s			9 780,150
+ 1.	3375	5.497.470	- 1	2663	3.244.080		6038	-8,741, BD6
		Su	o ar	Pine (D. r	monticolae)			
-	74	502 300		105	344. 370		179	846,670
10.5			-	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		distance of the		505,470
CAR					THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		white the market to the	857,270
200		and the second second second	Marie Com					2,649,300
1	239	1,156.750		81	225, 250		320	1 382 000
	900	5.047.050		436	1.193.660	2	1336	6.240.710
		Joffrey	Pin	a (D. jeff)	revi and me	lano	ohila sp.	
	19	A1 570		27	37 770		46	79,340
5000		The second of the second of the second			The second secon			156.150
1000	I Walle and The					OHILM		232,080
:	73	149,050	AL SI	155	178,840		228	327,890
	36	91.540	:	126	146.440		162	237.980
:	470							
	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: 828 : 433 : 579 : 842 : 693 3375 : 74 : 56 : 148 : 383 : 239 900	### Figure 1.5	## Yellow ## 1,157,530	Yellow Fine (D.o. : 828 1,157,530	Yellow Pine (D. orevicomis) : 828 1,157,530 : 614 1,036,590 : 433 812,170 : 308 483,580 : 579 951,420 : 564 897,910 : 842 1,517,900 : 727 1,154,200 : 693 1.058,450 : 450 710,600 3375 5.497,470 : 2663 3.244,080 Sugar Pine (D. monticolae) : 74 502,300 : 105 344,370 : 56 250,430 : 81 255,040 : 148 679,430 : 82 177,840 : 383 2,458,140 : 87 191,160 : 239 1,156,750 : 81 225,250 900 5.047,050 : 436 1.193,660 Jeffrey Pine (D. beffreyl and me) : 19 41,570 : 27 37,770 : 31 51,930 : 71 104,220	### Tellow Fine (D. previcomis) ### Rel Rel	Yellow Fine (D. brevicomis)

TABLE IV.

SAN JOAQUIN INSECT CONTROL PROJECT - SIERRA NATIONAL FOREST.

Vellow Pins Killed Annually by Western Pins Seatle with Volume and Persont of Loss Treated by Control Work, 1919 to 1920 Inclusive.

Unit		19	15				1920			15	321				1922				2	923		
Fort fork	Arm Trans	IN LOSS VOLUME	Year	s Valamo	% : Ann	Walmes		Tractor Volume	\$ Anima Franc	Volume		Wolum 9	% Lápsu Trees	Volues	Trees	Volume	j.	:Angua Press			Tolina	秀
Sogue Ranch	: 88 :	157,010			: 70	131,530	18	31,650	24.01: 103	153,780			: 703	358,180				: 170	228,950			
Worthfork	: 386	386,590	101	145,330	37.75: 136	261,700	61	118,220	35.22 202	271,790	39	22,500	8.28::296	399,690				: 225	294,140			
Rock Cresk	: 56	115,320	5	12,210	10.58: hs	19,800			: 101	201,720			: 135	290,840				: #9	129,350			
Ellis Headow	: 50	164,190			: 59	142,230	17	51,240	19.03: 57	103,810	13	2h,120	23.23: 129	330,560				: 64	204,560			
Crane Valley	2 2148	338,420	54	96,490	28.50: 116	163,930	45	50,950	31.08: 115	270, 120	10	21,910	9.951 79	138,630				: 145	201,450			
Total	: 526	1.157.530	164	254, 530	21.00: 433	778,990	242	228,060	29.15 :57	951,420	60	65,530	7.20: 842	1,917,900				: 693	.058,150	Ţ		
Chiquito Distri	let'																					
	: 110	109.050	28	53.390	48.92: 40	60,020	12	20,630	34.38: 90	133,270	56	81,580	61.13: 154	272,090	78	108,280	43.80	: 65	72,880	23	33,450	45.90
Forked Meadow	2 88	142,140	53	59,130	41.62:: 52	73,180	23	32,540	hh.50: 101	150,810	56	81,810	54.23: 99	120,850	52	103,670	89.92	: 67	78,110	49	61,530	78.72
West Chiquite	: 94	150,240	1.8	44,760	29.60: 29	40,070	7	6,830	17.07: 92	152,270	57	42,510	27.91: 127	193,660	60	82,640	42.65	: 125	189,830	41	74,860	39.45
Arnold Mendow	: 38	158,020	1	8,500	5.35: 33	64,190	3	5,670	8.85: bh	89,920	8	12,130	13.48: 39	87,480	16	37.380	12.72	: 51	129,350	6	8,430	6.52
Jackans Creek	: 85	132,200	9	17,930	13.56: 76	145,250			: 115	216,990	14	7,260	3.34: 214	363,040	6	4,510	1.24	: 77	151,540			
Maller's	: 20	33,850	12	16,600	49.00: 9	13,500			: 26	28,690	12	19,380	67.57: 13	20,950	2	3.730	17.83	: 7	11,760	1	2,300	19.57
Dalton Meadow 28a	: 150	255,750	52	97,300	38.03: 52	66.070	3	7,380	11.17: 90	116,230	59	72,860	62.70: 61	123,370	3	16,800	13.62	: 45	53,300			
Eniser Creek	23	55.320	5	9,490	17.16: 17	21,300	_1_	5,270	24.75: 6	9.730			: 20	22,560				: 13	24,630			
Total	: 614	1,036,590	15h	307,100	29.65: 308	483.580	55	78,320	16.20: 564	897,910	262	317.530	35-35: 727	1,154,000	247	362,010	32.37	: 450	710,600	120	180,570	25.47

TABLE V.

STATEMENT OF COSTS - BRASON OF 1924.

Control Work - Chiquito District.

area Treated	Lores.
Stand Protected 210,974,000	Bd. Ft.
Total Cost of Control Work 1,579.33	
No. of Trees Treated 137	
Volume (B.F.)182,970	Bd. Ft.
Cost per cre	
Cost per Free\$11.52	
Cost per 4.3.4. Treated \$ 8.63	

Detail of Costs	Spring	Summer	Total
Wages and Salaries	400.94	\$784.38	\$1,191.32
Subsistence	77.83	240.73	318.61
Fire of lack Stock	6.00	The state of the s	6.00
feed for Pack Stock	10.00	5.00	16.00
Transportation by Car (Mileage & Cas)	8.40	19.00	27.40
Depreciation on Equipment	10.00	10.00	20.00
	519.22	\$1,060.11	1,579.33

Potal number of man days --- 295 Total cost per man day --- 5.35

* 508.45 Provided by Bureau of Entomology

Assignment of Costs by Activities

Time and subsistence of men in going from Northfork to control camp and return	0
Packing	
Labor and Subsistence 32.1	0
Fire of Stock 6.0	0
Feed for Stock 16.0	0
Mileage and Cas 27.4	0
Control Work	
Labor and Subsistence 31,377.8	
Depreciation of Equipment 20.0	0
\$1,579.3	3

TABLE V . (SONT'D.)

Total Cost of Supplies	Spring 77.66	<u>Surmor</u> 240.73	Total 318.61
Sooks Salary managemental management		Assembly # 1-16	
Total Subsistence Cost	000000		A 3 5 3 3 3 3
Total No. Man days in Camp	85	250	335
Cost per Man day	.92	.96	.95
Man days worked	84	187	271
Cost per Man day worked	.92	1.28	1.17

CHROK ORNISING - KORTHRORK DISTRICT

area Covered 88, 3	20 Acres
Total No. Trees Marked 69	96
Total Volume Marked1,899,14	10 Ft. B.M.
Total Cost of Check Cruising 8347.3	37

Datail of Costs

Salaries	ية الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	290.00
Field Expense	a delication of the state of th	\$347.37

Unit Number	26 A.	1 20 B	26 C	: Total
Nam •	Logan	: Forked Mesdow	: West Chicuito	
Character of		: Extermination		
ork Done	: Spring Work	· Spring and Summer	Suring Work	
Acreage	5,200	3,320	5,360	19.120
Stand B.M.	: 43,520.000	36_894_000	69.120.000	:210.974.000
Volume Killed - 1923	: 89.370	149.090	262.060	500.520
Total Time on Unit in				
Man Dave Cruising and Treating	21.4	1 94.6	20.	: 136.
Nime on Area	15.7%	69.6%	14.7%	100.%
Total Cost	268.50	1 1.075.27	\$235.56	: \$1,579,35
Volume B.M. Treated	25,620	: 135,440	21,910	182,970
Cost For M.B.M.	: \$10.48	: \$7,93	10.75	\$ \$ \$8.63
Cost per Acre of Cruising and Treating	1 .057	32	.04	.08
CRUISING COSTS				
No. Trees Marked	: 106	: : 180	209	± 495
Fime in Man Days	5	18	6	29
Cost for Unit	26.75	96.30	832.10	: \$155.15
Cost per Acre	• 005	.029	-006	: .0081